



FOXCOMM EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

July 19, 2016 – 1:00 p.m.

Location: Outagamie County Courthouse
County Board Room

MINUTES

Executive Committee Members

Patty Francour, Chair*	P
Julie Vanden Acker, Vice-Chair*	P
Calumet County	
Mark Ott	P
Howard Mezera	P
Outagamie County	
Brad Gehring	P
Winnebago County	
John Matz	P

FoxComm Staff	
Tony Lodel	P
Recording Secretary	
Melissa Buman	P
Guests	
John Bodnar	P

1. Call to Order: The meeting was called to order at 1:32 p.m.
2. Roll Call – Determine if a quorum of members is present: Quorum was met.
3. Consent Agenda Items: Mezera/Matz made a motion to approve the Consent Agenda. Motion carried. The Consent Agenda included the following items:
 - a. Approval of the Agenda
 - b. Approval of the Minutes of the July 19, 2016 Executive Committee Meeting.
4. Discussion Items:
 - a. Timeline of Events – awareness of future needs whether we change or not:
 - b. Value of a three county partnership
 - c. IGA
 - i. Workgroup Update
 - ii. Proposed 50/50 Cost Sharing Formula
 - iii.

Sheriff Matz put together a powerpoint. Hoping we will have discussion so there can be changes made to the IGA – whether plan a or plan b. FoxComm started in 2003. First project was CAD in 2003. Brown County left in 2008. Get a copy of the power point. Funding formula and voting rights is why they left. Servers – Motorola support until 2019. OC's RFP not written yet – for a new CAD system. WC and OC are asking for a revision of funding formula and revision to IGA. Equipment is the same, number of users is less. In five years, net savings is \$24,936 – minimal. Taking into consideration IT costs – considering that we will work harder with the people we have. We are only working hard for ourselves. Positives; actual redundant system, information sharing, strength in numbers, possible tri-county RMS, connectivity distance, project manager. Negatives – cost sharing vs. independent system, inequitable workload, cost, lack of cooperation (length of time to make decisions), and ongoing connectivity costs. Tony – public safety, officer safety, inter-operability by having a CAD system...part of information sharing. Patty – a lot of effort on certain employees to figure things out. Effort it takes to start with a brand new system. Many hours with provisioning and other things. Fiber, microwave, etc. costs.

Questions

RFP - are we willing to start over and upgrade the current system? What if RFP doesn't produce viable options? What if it does? What are the hidden costs? What is plan b – will we stay together?

IGA – status quo? Change?

Dissolve – Fallout? What are the repercussions for them – no answers now.

Patty – her takeaways – how long it takes from purchase to functioning like you want it to .we have to keep the old one functioning until the new one is ready to go live. Do we do a partial upgrade so we have more time with our current system? Questionable for obtaining parts. Also, timing – if we put out this RFP. Whatever the due date is – do we have an agreement at that time, or do we start where we are now, second quarter of 2017? Many months of postponement – years actually. Tony – if we stay with current platform – 4.0, decided to upgrade equipment in 2018. If we signed a PO and release on January 1, Motorola said the implementation of a system would be six months. They have to order the equipment, provision in house, do training, etc. Roughly mid-summer. There are things to take into consideration. WC has a lot of festivities going on – pushing back to October. We're already pushing ourselves into the end of 2018 lifespan. That's not going to happen. His concern is that this is a 911 public safety program. We are here to support fire and law – his recommendation to do a partial upgrade at that time. Tony is for it being that the equipment is out of normal lifespan. I want to make it clear that we should have gone forth. New CAD system – we are looking at a year and a half before we implement. Recommending for 2017 during the budget process. The counties need to come together to form some type of agreement to move forward. Patty – software version. Motorola has it in the contract 3.31 version – CU's are part of our package. Major upgrades. One version upgrade every year. 4.0, 4.1, and 4.2 in December of 2016. Software concerns, too. Looking at GIP mapping software already unsupported, which is the foundation of our current CAD system. Patty – if the version we need cannot be generated, we are no longer able to add a street, hydrant, etc. Maps are frozen. We have been off support since the beginning of 2016. Mezera – what is the stability of the newer software versions? 4.0 Has been out for a while. Patty – does OC have due dates in mind or selection times? Brad – meeting with police and fire chiefs regularly. Collecting RFP draft information now. Chiefs meeting October 5. All agencies are asked to review and make any final changes. Mid-october is when we want it to go out, Decemer will be able to revie wand make a recommendation. February 2017. For CAD and RMS. Patty – how is RFP written? Will provide a starting point to continue discussions if you like what you see. Would it be a FoxComm RMS or individual? Jobe – independent of FoxComm because it has to be. Trying to provide credible RFP responses – should be open ended enough that whatever the counties do, wouldn't prevent going into joint ventures with future products. Patty – what are OC's thoughts on the timing as far as implementation. Julie – not quite as concerned – testing the failover today. We do have a backup system in place, we do have them under contract through 2019. You can't look into a crystal blal, but she is not quite as concerned about the hardware. Her concern is more about the software. They are a very large vendor – I know what the contract says, but I would like to know what they do in practice. Her question for them. Brad – we are asking in the RFP for timelines to determine how long it would take to implement. Patty – if you do your analysis and decide to stay with Motorola and then we're back to where we're at right now. Should we work on changing the IGA at this

point? Brad – IGA – we have had the 50/50 proposal. Calumet is not interested in changing the formula. They have been asked for a counter proposal. Execs meeting in a month or so. Certainly it needs to be amended. John has been frustrated on many drafts. To move forward until you get a cost share solidified, are you spinning your wheels? WC's share is not respective too. RFPs are coming back. You have been asked to be present at those meetings and we have been clear on our feelings on hosting that. 2017 – go to the boards, three counties are not in agreement. You ask for bonding then to bring in new hardware and software. There are options. A board and execs would probably appreciate the process of credible, due diligence. Here is where we're at. Brad – OC will continue to have real reluctance regarding cost sharing formula. We believe that this is something that really needs to be resolved. Patty – what does it take for us to do an amendment? John – IGA has to be agreed to by all parties. To amend this, we need consensus. One county could veto...no we don't want to agree to an amendment. IGA is very obsolete. Technology has changed, Brown County left five years ago, latest draft is five years old. There are things that don't apply anymore. Obsolete. Many things no longer apply. His suggestion – let's see what we can agree to change. But if you don't come up with the cost share, it won't happen. You may want to look at changing some things that everyone can agree to change, when you do split up, it might make sense to do that. Need consensus at board level. County Exec has to sign off and board. If we cannot get consensus – FoxComm exists right now. If two entities pulled out, there would be nothing left. Years ago, Brown County left, and there were some problems. Julie – two county execs and three boards, and if they don't agree, nothing changes. If one of them would veto. If two of the boards votes yes and one votes no, it doesn't get amended. Matz – before we switch CADs and before we fix anything, this needs to be hammered out – no matter what. We stopped after an hour of discussion and executives could get together scheduled for the 19th. Ott – everyone calling and no one answering. Ott will mention and reach out to both. All three were invited. Could he make it to that meeting at OC? Monday at 8:30. September 19. Could someone else attend instead? Unknown per Ott. Matz – if you give notice, what is the notice that is required? Six months prior to June 30th. If you want out for July 1 2017 and beyond must give notice by the end of December. Patty – should we set another IGA workgroup meeting after the September 19 meeting? Brad – to have a meeting – would they come to consensus or not? Would it be productive to have a meeting in October to talk through other IGA changes (other than cost sharing). Brad – OC is not going to go down that path until based on the numbers of the RFP responses. John – get brown county out of there and other things that all can agree to. If there is a disagreement like last time with brown County, there is no resolution dispute agreement. That concerns him. When brown county left, Joe and Pam and John all saw as potential problems that needed to be remedied. Cost share issue was why the drafts never went any further. Julie – I would like to talk with our county exec before moving forward with that. I don't know how comfortable I am with approaching them with the cost share not worked out, but I understand that there are many other things that need to be updated. Matz – what we have found from Motorola, there is no significant cost savings to FoxComm. There is redundancy and some advantages there. Julie –we're doing a similar type of analysis – I can appreciate what you did – we are doing the same thing. Patty – back on hold until the county execs meet? If they don't all meet, we'll be on hold even longer. John suggested an IGA workgroup meeting or FAB meeting sometime in october – can always cancel.

5. Action Item

- a. Possible vote to modify the IGA at this committee level and recommend it to FAB.

6. Reports

- a. Management Information Coordinator's Report (Lodel): Hard drive failed and replaced. Tower went down – CC was down as far as microwave. Redundancy – riding over VPN connection. Down on microwave approximately two days. Invoice will be disputed. CC will try to get the money back. Nothing has come out contingency. DR failover went fairly smooth. Working out some smaller issue such as report writing. Users are able to get up and running, dispatch is able to assign calls. This is a two hour process. On that system for two weeks. User conference next week. 27th failback. Budgeting – everything is on track. Nothing out of the ordinary.
- b. Determine the date/time/location of the next FoxComm Executive Committee meeting (October 4)

7. Determine the next date/time/location of the next FoxComm EC Meeting: October 11 at 1:30 – try to reserve room.

8. Adjourn: Matz/Mezera. Motion carried. Meeting adjourned at 2:30 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Melissa Buman

Records Management/Administrative Services Supervisor
Outagamie County MIS Department